

Panaji, 29th April, 2010 (Vaisakha 9, 1932)

SERIES II No. 5

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There is one Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 4 dated 23-4-2010 from pages 81 to 82 regarding Order from Department of Finance (Revenue & Control Division).

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 22-3-10 from Adv., Vallabh Falari.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA**Department of Co-operation**

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

OrderNo. 50/3(78)/Elec/RGB/BOD/GCMSF/HQ/
/RCS/2010/104

Read: Letter No. ADM/GCMSF-ELEC/2009-10/
/2558 dated 20-01-10 from the Chairman,
The Goa Co-op. Marketing & Supply
Federation Ltd., H.O. Sahakar Bhavan,
Panaji-Goa, requesting therein to grant
exemption from Section 69 of the Goa
Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, in
terms of Section 126(A) of the Goa
Co-op. Societies Act, 2001.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 126A of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, Government is pleased to exempt The Goa Co-op. Marketing & Supply Federation Ltd., Panaji-Goa from the provisions of Section 69 of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, for the next term i.e. 2010 to 2015.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies &
ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 15th April, 2010.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Vallabh Falari, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji, Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., Vallabh Falari,
F10, 1st Floor, Paul Tower'
Opp. Petrol Pump,
Bicholim-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 31-3-10 from Adv., Kishor L. Bhagat.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Kishor L. Bhagat, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Kishor L. Bhagat,
House No. 210,
Deulwada, P. O.,
Marcela-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 4-3-10 from Adv., Reena D. Naik Rasaikar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Reena D. Naik Rasaikar, as

Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji, Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Reena D. Naik Rasaikar,
Flat No. 5, 3rd Floor,
Prasheel Co-op. Housing Society,
Behind Canara Bank-Ponda-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 30-3-10 from Adv., Shilpa V. Pednekar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Shilpa V. Pednekar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., Shilpa V. Pednekar,
Gaonkarwada-Mayem,
Bicholim-Goa 403 504.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 31-3-10 from Adv., Prashant Agarwal.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Prashant Agarwal, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., Prashant Agarwal,
106, 1st Floor,
Shiv Towers, Patto-Plaza,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of

conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 5-4-10 from Adv., Suhas K. Kundaikar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Suhas K. Kundaikar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., Suhas K. Kundaikar,
Gurudas Baba Niwas,
Opp. Maruti Mandir,
Warkhande-Ponda-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 23-3-10 from Adv., Vishnu R. Naik.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Vishnu R. Naik, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Vishnu R. Naik,
Rudreshwar Colony,
Near Kadamba Bus Stand,
Lower Harvalem-Sankhali.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 29-3-10 from Adv., Amol Thali.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Amol Thali, as Registrar's Nominee

for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Amol Thali,
302, 3rd Floor Vagle-Vision,
18th June Road,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 18-4-2010 from Adv., Shilpa V. Shirgaonkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Shilpa V. Shirgaonkar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv. Shilpa V. Shirgaonkar,
House No. 777, Lower Harvalem
Post Pratapnagar,
Sankhali-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 23-3-10 from Adv., Mrs. Sawali S. Arolkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv. Mrs. Sawali S. Arolkar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., Mrs. Sawali S. Arolkar,
Office No. 5, Laxmi Parvati Arcade,
Near Canara Bank,
Bicholim-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced

within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 23-3-10 from Adv., Rajkumar N. Naik.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Rajkumar N. Naik, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., Rajkumar N. Naik,
2nd Floor, Shop No. 54,
Apna Bazar Bldg.,
Next to Vasco Civil Court, Vasco.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 23-3-10 from Adv., Anant C. Pansekar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Anant C. Pansekar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Anant C. Pansekar,
S-4, 2nd Floor, Fatima Chambers,
Dr. Atmaram Borkar Road,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 20-3-10 from Adv., Ramakant B. Vernekar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Ramakant B. Vernekar, as Registrar's

Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Ramakant B. Vernekar,
F-5, Champa Vihar,
Near Popular High School,
Comba, Margao-403 601.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 20-3-10 from Adv., Mukund V. Pai.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Mukund V. Pai, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., Mukund V. Pai,
S-4 -2nd Floor, Patto Centre Bldg.,
Patto-Panaji-Goa, 403 001.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 23-3-10 from Adv., A. A. Jog.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., A. A. Jog, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., A. A. Jog,
101, Chandra Sadan,
Near Hotel Neptune,
Panaji-Goa 403 001.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of

conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 30-3-10 from Adv., Nicholas P. Dias.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Nicholas P. Dias, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,
Adv., Nicholas P. Dias,
Magnum Residency, Near Dempo College,
Next to Global Trust Bank,
Tonca, Miramar-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 20-3-10 from Adv., Ghanashyam V. Pai.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa

Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Ghanashyam V. Pai, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Ghanashyam V. Pai,
A 1, F-12, 1st Floor,
Jairam Appartment,
Neugi Nagar-Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 24-3-10 from Adv., R. P. Pednekar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., R. P. Pednekar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., R. P. Pednekar,
3-F-3, IInd Floor,
Rani Pramila Arcade,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 22-3-10 from Adv., Suhas G. Thali.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv. Suhas G. Thali, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Suhas G. Thali,
1st Floor, Sehra Appartment,
Near Mahalaxmi Temple,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of

conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 22-3-10 from Adv., K. N. Rao.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., K. N. Rao, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., K. N. Rao,
S/4, Mahalaxmi Apartments,
Near Vithal Mandir,
Comba-Margao 403 601.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 20-3-10 from Adv., Sanjay M. Chodankar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Sanjay M. Chodankar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Sanjay M. Chodankar,
203, 2nd Floor, Damodar Phase II,
Near Gomant Vidhya Niketan,
Margao-Goa-403 601.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 11-3-10 from Adv., N. P. Gaunekar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., N. P. Gaunekar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-

operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., N. P. Gaunekar,
House No. 497, Opp. Sea Pearl Bar,
St. Francisco Ward,
Goa-Velha - 403 108.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 22-3-10 from Adv., B. S. Gaunkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., B. S. Gaunkar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., B. S. Gaunkar,
850/A, Parwati Niwas,
Journalist Colony,
Alto-Betim, Bardez-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 22-3-10 from Adv., M. U. Kenkre.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., M. U. Kenkre, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., M. U. Kenkre,
FO3, Ist Floor, Gurukrupa Bldg.,
Opp. Sai Color Lab.,
F. L. Gomes Road, Vasco-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced

within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 20-3-10 from Adv., Shivprasad V. Manerkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Shivprasad V. Manerkar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Shivprasad V. Manerkar,
Albuquerque Appts., 1st Floor,
Near Vaidya Hospital,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 22-3-10 from Adv., Yeshwant V. Gauns.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Yeshwant V. Gauns, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv. Yeshwant V. Gauns,
Flat No. 7, 1st Floor,
Apa Residential Complex, Near Hotel Sneha,
Valpoi, Satari-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 23-3-10 from Adv., Rajesh Narvekar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Rajesh Narvekar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any

of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv., Rajesh Narvekar,
Narvekar Chambers,
Near Central Bank,
Mapusa-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/123

Read: Letter dated 25-3-10 from Adv., Satishchandra Talaulikar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, pleased to appoint Adv., Satishchandra Talaulikar, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, Dairy Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao as the case may be for the period from 16-4-2010 to 31-3-2011.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

To,

Adv. Satishchandra Talaulikar,
9 Karma Paes Avenue,
2nd Floor, Opp. Civil & Criminal Court,
Vasco-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies, Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgment should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgment in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Higher Education

Order

No. 21/10/95-Edn/1026

Read: Government Order No. 21/3/94-Edn/267 dated 31-01-2008.

Consequent upon expiry of the lien (kept) on the post of Lecturer in Mathematics for the period of two years w.e.f. 31-1-2008 to 30-1-2010 as granted to Dr. Santhosh George vide order read as above, Government is now pleased to accept the technical resignation tendered by him vide his letter dated 28-12-2009 and accordingly he stands relieved of the post w.e.f. 31-1-2010 on account of his absorption as Associate Professor in National Institute of Technology, Karnataka, Surathkal.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. K. Halarnkar, Under Secretary (Higher Education).

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

Department of Finance

Directorate of Accounts

Order

No. DA/Admn/46-2(119)/09-10/TR-194/05

Government is pleased to accept the notice of Voluntary Retirement dated 10-02-2010 tendered by Smt. Megha M. Sangodkar, Dy. Director of

Accounts/Accounts Officer under Rule 48-A of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 w.e.f. 17-05-2010 (forenoon) on completion of the three months notice period.

Smt. Megha M. Sangodkar, Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officer stands retired from service w.e.f. 17-05-2010 forenoon.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Pramod Y. Ramani, Director of Accounts.

Panaji, 19th April, 2010.

◆◆◆
Department of Forest

—
Order

No. 4/2/2001-02/FOR(P)

Government is pleased to order transfer of Shri Pradeep B. Verekar, Assistant Conservator of Forests, Wildlife & Eco-Tourism, North Goa (on ad hoc) and post him at Bondla Zoo as Zoo Manager.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Forests).

Porvorim, 21st April, 2010.

Order

No. 6/15/2001-02/FOR (Part)

- Read: 1. Order No. 6/15/2001-02/FOR dated 07-03-2006.
2. Order No. 6/15/2001-02/FOR dated 21-03-2007.
3. Order No. 6/15/2001-02/FOR dated 02-04-2008.
4. Order No. 6/15/2001-02/FOR dated 28-04-2009.

Government is pleased to extend the deputation term of Dr. Francis L. Coelho, Assistant Conservator of Forests as General Manager in the Goa Forests Development Corporation Ltd., for a further period of one year with effect from 18-04-2010 to 17-04-2011 subject to condition that no deputation allowance is admissible beyond the fourth year nor Deputation Post Pay will be paid.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Forests).

Porvorim, 22nd April, 2010.

Order

No. 4/1/2006/FOR/97

Read: Government Order No. 4/1/2006/FOR/259 dated 22-01-2009.

Sanction of the Government is hereby conveyed for extension of ad hoc promotion of Shri Cedric D'Souza, Dy. Conservator of Forests for a further period of six months w.e.f. 23-04-2010 or till the post is filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Maria J. R. Pires, Under Secretary (Forests).

Porvorim, 22nd April, 2010.

◆◆◆
Department of Home

Home—General Division

Office of the Director General of Police

—
Order

No. CA-I/224/Dy.S.P./3749/2010

Read: 1. Order No. CA-I/224/Dy.S.P./9889/2009 dated 07-10-2009.

2. Order No. CA-I/224/Dy.S.P./10859/2009 dated 03-11-2009.

The ad hoc promotion of the following Officers (Deputy Superintendent of Police) in Junior Scale of Goa Police Service is hereby extended for a further period of six months or till the appointment is made on regular basis, whichever is earlier:

Sl. No.	Name & Place of posting	Extension period of ad hoc promotion
1	2	3
1.	Shri Lawrence D'Souza, SPCR, Panaji	02-03-2010 to 01-09-2010.
2.	Shri Gajanan V. Prabhudessai, Traffic (South), Margao	10-04-2010 to 09-10-2010.
3.	Shri Naresh V. Mhamal, ANC, Panaji	10-04-2010 to 09-10-2010.
4.	Smt. Terezinha T. D'Souza, CID/SB, Panaji	10-04-2010 to 09-10-2010.
5.	Shri Sammy Tavares, SDPO., Mapusa-I	10-04-2010 to 09-10-2010.
6.	Shri Pandarinath L. Mapari, Tourist Police Unit	10-04-2010 to 09-10-2010.

1	2	3
7.	Shri Gundu G. Naik, SDPO., Mapusa-II	10-04-2010 to 09-10-2010.
8.	Shri Serafin Dias, SDPO., Ponda	10-04-2010 to 09-10-2010.

2. This issues with the approval of the Police Establishment Board.

B. S. Bassi, IPS, Director General of Police (Goa).
Panaji, 20th April, 2010.

Note

No. 2/93/92-HD(G)/Vol.III.PF-I

Notification declaring Students Islamic Movements of India (SIMI) as an unlawful association under Section 3 (1) of the unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs vide Notification No. S.O. 260 (E) dated 05-02-2010 and No. S.O. 345 (E) dated 05-03-2010 and published in the Gazette of India, (Extraordinary), is enclosed herewith with a request to publish the same in the Official Gazette for information of the public.

Siddhivinayak Surendra Naik, Under Secretary (Home).

Porvorim, 13th April, 2010.

गृह मंत्रालय

अधिसूचना

नई दिल्ली, ५ फरवरी, २०१०

का. आ. २६०(अ).— जबकि, स्टूडेंट्स इस्लामिक मूवमेन्ट ऑफ इण्डिया (जिसका उल्लेख इसके बाद 'सिमी' के रूप में किया गया है) ऐसी गतिविधियों में संलिप्त रहा है जो देश की सुरक्षा के प्रतिकूल है और जो शांति और साम्प्रदायिक सौहार्द को बिगाड़ने और देश के धर्म निरपेक्ष ताने-बाने को छिन्न-भिन्न करने का मादा रखती है;

और जबकि, विधि—विरुद्ध क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) अधिनियम, १९६७ (१९६७ का ३७) (जिसका उल्लेख इसके बाद 'अधिनियम' के रूप में किया गया है) की धारा ३ की उप-धारा (१) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार ने क्रमशः (i) दिनांक २७ सितम्बर, २००१ की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. ९६० (अ); (ii) दिनांक २६ सितम्बर, २००३ की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ.

१११३ (अ), (iii) दिनांक ८ फरवरी, २००६ की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. १९१ (अ), और (iv) दिनांक ७ फरवरी, २००८ की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. २७६ (अ) के तहत सिमी को एक विधि—विरुद्ध संगठन घोषित किया है;

और जबकि, विधि—विरुद्ध क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) न्यायाधिकरण (जिसका उल्लेख इसके बाद 'न्यायाधिकरण' के रूप में किया गया है) का गठन यह न्यायनिर्णय देने के लिए किया गया था कि क्या सिमी को विधि—विरुद्ध संगठन घोषित करने के संबंध में पर्याप्त कारण हैं अथवा नहीं और न्यायाधिकरण ने अपने क्रमशः (i) दिनांक ८ अप्रैल, २००२ के आदेश संख्या का. आ. ३९७ (अ); (ii) दिनांक १६ अप्रैल, २००४ के आदेश सं. का. आ. ४९९(अ); और (iii) दिनांक ११ अगस्त, २००६ के आदेश संख्या का.आ. १३०२(अ); के तहत प्रतिबंध को सही ठहराया है;

और जबकि, न्यायाधिकरण ने दिनांक ५ अगस्त, २००८ के अपने आदेश में यह निर्णय देते हुए कि दिनांक ७ फरवरी, २००८ की ऊपर उल्लिखित अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ.२७६(अ) अधिनियम की धारा ३ की अपेक्षा को पूरा नहीं करती है और उसमें की गई घोषणा को निरस्त कर दिया;

और जबकि, केन्द्र सरकार ने, भारत के माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय में विशेष अनुमति याचिका (सिविल) संख्या २००८ की संख्या १९८४५ दायर करके न्यायाधिकरण के उपर्युक्त आदेश को चुनौती दी;

और जबकि, माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय ने दिनांक ६ अगस्त, २००८ को न्यायाधिकरण के उपर्युक्त आदेश पर अन्तरिम स्थगन आदेश दे दिया;

और जबकि, बाद में हुई सुनवाई में माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय ने स्थगन आदेश की अवधि अगले आदेशों तक बढ़ा दी और मामले की सुनवाई एक बड़ी पीठ द्वारा किए जाने के आदेश दिए;

और जबकि, विधि—विरुद्ध क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) अधिनियम, १९६७ की धारा (६) की उप-धारा (१) के अनुसरण में जारी अधिसूचना की तारीख से २ वर्ष की अवधि के लिए लगाया गया प्रतिबंध ६ फरवरी, २०१० को समाप्त हो जाएगा;

और जबकि, केन्द्र सरकार की यह राय है कि माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय के समक्ष व्यक्त किए गए अपने आशय के प्रति पूर्वाग्रही हुए बिना, अति सर्तकता के लिए अधिनियम की धारा ३ के तहत उसे प्राप्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करना आवश्यक है;

और जबकि, केन्द्र सरकार का यह विचार है कि अन्य बातों के साथ-साथ निम्नलिखित आधारों पर यह विश्वास किया जा सकता

है कि सिमी ऐसी गतिविधियों में संलिप्त है जो देश की एकता और सुरक्षा के लिए हानिकारक है:-

- (क) पुलिस थाना पीथमपुर, धार, मध्यप्रदेश में दिनांक २७ मार्च, २००८ को दर्ज आपराधिक मामला संख्या १२०/०८ के अनुसार, सफदर हुसैन नागोरी सहित १३ फरार कुख्यात सिमी कार्यकर्ताओं को विभिन्न स्थानों पर विस्फोट की योजना बनाते हुए, आग्नेयास्त्रों और आपत्तिजनक साहित्य, सिमी के प्रशिक्षण की पुस्तकों सहित गिरफ्तार किया गया था ।
- (ख) दिनांक १३ मई, २००८ को जयपुर में श्रृंखलाबद्ध विस्फोट हुए जिनमें ६८ लोग मारे गए और १५० लोग जखमी हुए और पुलिस द्वारा मामला दर्ज किया गया है ।
- (ग) दिनांक २६ जुलाई, २००८ को अहमदाबाद शहर १८ विभिन्न स्थानों पर हुए २३ श्रृंखलाबद्ध विस्फोटों से थर्ग गया, जिनमें से दो कार बम विस्फोट दो अस्पतालों में हुए, इन विस्फोटों के परिणामस्वरूप ५७ व्यक्तियों की मौत हो गई और १६० से अधिक लोग घायल हो गए। अहमदाबाद नगर पुलिस ने इन विस्फोटों के सिलसिले में १८ सिमी कार्यकर्ताओं को गिरफ्तार किया। इन कार्यकर्ताओं के विरुद्ध पुलिस द्वारा अट्ठारह मामले दर्ज किए गए।
- (घ) दिनांक १३ सितम्बर, २००८ को दिल्लीके विभिन्न इलाकों में कई विस्फोट हुए जिनमें २४ व्यक्तियों की जानें गई और १४६ लोग घायल हो गए। दिल्ली पुलिस ने इन विस्फोटों के सिलसिले में १२ अभियुक्तों को गिरफ्तार किया जिनमें से तीन अभियुक्तों का सम्बन्ध सिमी से था। दिल्ली पुलिस ने इन तीन अभियुक्तों सहित १२ अभियुक्तों के विरुद्ध ५ मामले दर्ज किए हैं।
- (ङ) दिनांक २५ जुलाई, २००८ को बंगलोर शहर में विभिन्न स्थानों पर आठ श्रृंखलाबद्ध विस्फोट हुए। एक महिला की घटनास्थल पर ही मौत हो गई और ११ व्यक्ति घायल हो गए। कर्नाटक पुलिस ने ९ मामले दर्ज किए और १० अभियुक्त व्यक्तियों को गिरफ्तार किया जिनमें से ३ सिमी के सक्रिय कार्यकर्ता हैं।
- (च) एस. आई. टी. हैदराबाद ने, देश के विरुद्ध युद्ध छेड़ने की साजिश के लिए सिमी के सात अभियुक्त कार्यकर्ताओं को गिरफ्तार किया। उनकी, आर.आर. जिले में अनन्तगिरी पर्वतीय वन क्षेत्र में एक प्रशिक्षण शिविर आयोजित करने की योजना थी।

- (छ) अवैध संगठनात्मक गतिविधियां चलाने के लिए सिमी के कार्यकर्ताओं को सिहोर, भोपाल, राजगढ़ और इन्दौर जिलों में फरवरी २००८ और अगस्त २००८ के बीच गिरफ्तार किया गया;
- (ज) अवैध संगठनात्मक गतिविधियां चलाने के लिए सिमी के कार्यकर्ताओं को हैदराबाद के गोपालपुरम और सैदाबाद में फरवरी २००८ और सितम्बर २००८ के बीच गिरफ्तार किया गया;
- (झ) विधि-विरुद्ध क्रियाकलापों के लिए सिमी के पांच कार्यकर्ताओं को ए.टी.एस. भोपाल द्वारा इन्दौर से दिनांक २९ अक्टूबर, २००९ को गिरफ्तार किया गया। ए.टी.एस. भोपाल द्वारा विधि-विरुद्ध क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) अधिनियम, १९६९ की धारा ३, १० एवं १३ के तहत और भारतीय दण्ड संहिता की धारा १५३(क) और १५३(ख) के तहत आपराधिक मामला संख्या ५/२००९ दर्ज किया गया;
- (ञ) दिनांक २० अक्टूबर, २००९ को इन्दौर से गिरफ्तार किए गए कार्यकर्ताओं द्वारा खुलासा किए जाने पर ए.टी.एस. भोपाल द्वारा दिनांक ४-११-२००९ को जबलपुर से सिमी के चार अन्य कार्यकर्ताओं को गिरफ्तार किया गया। विधि-विरुद्ध क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) अधिनियम, १९६९ की धारा ३, १० एवं १३ के तहत और भारतीय दण्ड संहिता की धारा १५३(क), १५३(ख) और १२०(ख) के तहत आपराधिक मामला संख्या ६/२००९ दर्ज किया गया;
- (ट) गोकुल रोड, पुलिस थाना, हुबली सिटी द्वारा, दांडिक मामला संख्या १४/२००८ के तहत आतंकवादी गतिविधियों में संलिप्त होने के कारण सिमी के कार्यकर्ताओं के विरुद्ध भारतीय दण्ड संहिता की धारा १२०(ख), १२१, १२१(क), १२२, १२४(क) १५३(क)(१)(ख), १५३(ख)(१)(क), विधि-विरुद्ध क्रिया-कलाप (निवारण) अधिनियम, १९६७ की धारा १०, ११ एवं १३ और विस्फोटक पदार्थ अधिनियम की धारा ३, ४ और ५ के तहत आपराधिक मामला दर्ज किया गया। इस मामले में कुल १८ सिमी कार्यकर्ताओं को अभियुक्त बनाया गया;
- (ठ) दिनांक २४ अप्रैल, २००९ को तीस हजारी न्यायालय द्वारा सिमी के एक अभियुक्त, जिसे दिल्ली पुलिस की विशेष शाखा द्वारा दिनांक २५ जनवरी, २००७ को विस्फोटक सामग्री सहित गिरफ्तार किया गया था, को ५ वर्ष के कठोर कारावास और १,००० रुपये जुर्माने की सजा सुनाई गई;

(ड) निचले न्यायालय द्वारा दिनांक ९ जुलाई, २००७ को, सिमी के ४ अभियुक्तों को, प्रत्येक को, भारतीय दण्ड संहिता की धारा १२१/१२१क/१२२ के तहत १० वर्ष के कठोर कारावास और ५०,००० रुपये जुर्माने, जिसके अदा न किए जाने पर ६ माह की अतिरिक्त कारावास की सजा, विस्फोटक पदार्थ अधिनियम की धारा ४ के तहत ७ वर्ष के कठोर कारावास और २५,००० रुपये जुर्माने, जिसके अदा न किए जाने पर ३ माह की अतिरिक्त कारावास की सजा, विस्फोटक पदार्थ अधिनियम की धारा ५ के तहत ५ वर्ष के कठोर कारावास और २५,००० रुपये जुर्माने की सजा सुनाई गई, इस सजा के विरुद्ध दिल्ली उच्च न्यायालय में दायर अपील को दिनांक २८ जुलाई, २००८ को निरस्त कर दिया गया।

और जबकि, उपर्युक्त आधारों पर केन्द्र सरकार की यह राय है कि यह विश्वास किया जा सकता है कि सिमी ऐसी गतिविधियों में संलिप्त है जो देश की एकता और सुरक्षा के लिए हानिकारक हैं।

अतः इसलिए, विधि-विरुद्ध क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) अधिनियम, १९६७ (१९६७ का ३७) की धारा ३ की उप-धारा (१) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा स्टूडेंट्स इस्लामिक मूवमेंट ऑफ इण्डिया (सिमी) को एक “विधि-विरुद्ध संगठन” घोषित करती है;

और जबकि, केन्द्र सरकार की यह भी राय है कि यदि सिमी की विधि विरुद्ध गतिविधियों पर तुरन्त अंकुश नहीं लगाया गया और उन्हें नियंत्रित नहीं किया गया तो उसे निम्नलिखित कार्य करने का मौका मिल जाएगा:—

- (i) अपनी विध्वंसक गतिविधियों को जारी रखना और अपने उन कार्यकर्ताओं को फिर से संगठित करना जो अभी तक फरार हैं;
- (ii) लोगों की मानसिकता को विकृत करके और साम्प्रदायिक सौहार्द को बिगाड़कर देश के धर्म-निरपेक्ष ताने-बाने को छिन्न-भिन्न करना;
- (iii) देश विरोधी भावना भड़काना; और
- (iv) उग्रवाद का समर्थन करके अलगाववाद को बढ़ाना;
- (v) ऐसी गतिविधियों को अन्जाम देना जो देश की एकता और सुरक्षा के लिए हानिकारक हैं;

और जबकि, केन्द्र सरकार की यह भी राय है कि सिमी के कार्यकर्ताओं की गतिविधियों को देखते हुए सिमी को तत्काल प्रभाव से एक विधि विरुद्ध संगठ घोषित करना आवश्यक है, और तदनुसार, धारा ३ की उप-धारा (३) के परंतुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग

करते हुए, केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा यह निदेश देती है कि यह अधिसूचना, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा ४ के अन्तर्गत किये गये किसी भी आदेश के अध्वधीन, सरकारी राजपत्र में इसके प्रकाशन की तारीख से लागू होगी।

[फा. सं. १४०१७/२/२००९-एन आई-III]

डॉ. कशमीर सिंह, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

Notification

New Delhi, the 5th February, 2010

S.O. 260(E).— Whereas the Students Islamic Movement of India (hereinafter referred to as the 'SIMI') has been indulging in activities, which are prejudicial to the security of the country and have the potential of disturbing peace and communal harmony and disrupting the secular fabric of the country;

And whereas, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (37 of 1967) (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act') the Central Government declare the SIMI as an unlawful association *vide* notification numbers, (i) S.O. 960 (E), dated the 27th September, 2001; (ii) S.O. 1113 (E), dated the 26th September, 2003; and (iii) S.O. 191 (E), dated the 8th February, 2006; (iv) S.O. 276 (E), dated the 7th February, 2008 respectively;

And whereas, the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal') was constituted for the purpose of adjudicating whether or not there is sufficient cause for declaring the SIMI as unlawful association and the Tribunal upheld the ban *vide* Order numbers, (i) S.O. 397 (E), dated 8th April, 2002; (ii) S.O. 499 (E), dated 16th April, 2004; and (iii) S.O. 1302 (E), dated the 11th August, 2006 respectively;

And whereas, the Tribunal *vide* Order dated 5th August, 2008 held that the notification number S.O. 276 (E), dated 7th February, 2008 mentioned above did not satisfy the requirement of Section 3 of the Act and cancelled the declaration made therein;

And whereas, the Central Government challenged the aforesaid Order of the Tribunal in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India *vide* Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 19845 of 2008;

And whereas, on 6th August, 2008, the Hon'ble Supreme Court was pleased to order interim stay of the aforesaid Order of the Tribunal;

And whereas, on subsequent hearing the Hon'ble Supreme Court extended the stay till further order and ordered that the matter be heard by a larger Bench;

And whereas, that the duration of ban of 2 years from the date of notification conferred by sub-section (1) of Section (6) of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 will cease on 6th February, 2010;

And whereas, the Central Government is of the opinion that without prejudice to its contentions before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in abundant caution, it is necessary to exercise its powers under Section 3 of the Act;

And whereas, the Central Government is of the opinion based, inter alia, on the following grounds that SIMI is believed to be indulging in the activities which are prejudicial to the integrity and security of the country:

- (a) In case bearing Crime No. 120/08, March 27, 2008, in PS Pithampur, Dhar, Madhya Pradesh, 13 absconding hardcore SIMI activists including Safdar Hussain Nagori were arrested alongwith firearms and objectionable literature, training books of SIMI with the aim to cause explosions in different places;
- (b) On May 13, 2008, there were a series of blasts in Jaipur, in which 68 persons were killed and 150 were injured and a case has been registered by Police;
- (c) On July 26, 2008, Ahmedabad city was rocked by a series of 23 blasts at 18 different places, including two car bomb blasts at two hospital sites, resulting in the death of 57 persons and injuries to over 160 persons. Ahmedabad city police arrested 18 SIMI activists for these blasts. Eighteen cases have been registered by Police against these activists;
- (d) On September 13, 2008, there were several blasts in different localities in Delhi, in which 24 persons were killed and 146 were injured. The Delhi Police arrested 12 accused for these blasts out of those three accused belong to SIMI. Delhi Police have registered 5 cases against 12 accused including these three;
- (e) On 25th July, 2008, eight serial bomb blasts occurred at different places in Bangalore city. One woman died at the spot and 11 persons were injured. the Karnataka police have registered 9 cases and have arrested 10 accused persons of which 3 were active members of SIMI.
- (f) SIT, Hyderabad registered a case against seven accused activists of SIMI for conspiracy to wage war against the country. They had plans to organize a training camp in Anantagiri Hills Forest Range in RR Distt.
- (g) Between February, 2008 and August, 2008, SIMI activists were arrested in Sehore, Bhopal, Rajgarh and Indore districts for carrying on illegal organizational activities.
- (h) Between February, 2008 and September, 2008, SIMI activists were arrested in Gopalpuram and Saidabad in Hyderabad for carrying on illegal organizational activities.
- (i) Five SIMI activists were arrested on 20-10-2009 by ATS Bhopal from Indore for unlawful activities. A Case Cr. No. 5/2009 has been registered by ATS, Bhopal u/s 3,10,13 of UAP Act, 1969, and 153(A) 153(B) IPC.
- (j) Based on the revelations of the activists arrested from Indore on October 20, 2009, four more SIMI activists, were arrested from Jabalpur on 4-11-2009 by ATS, Bhopal. A case Cr. No. 6/2009 has been registered u/s 3,10,13 of UAP Act, 1969, and 153(A) 153(B) 120(B) of IPC.
- (k) A criminal case was registered against SIMI activists for their involvement in terrorist activities vide Cr. No. 14/2008 under Sections 120(B), 121, 121(A), 122, 124(A), 153(A)(1)(B), 153(B)(1)(A) of IPC, under Section 10, 11 and 13 of unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967, and Sections 3, 4, and 5 of Explosives Substances Act of Gokul Road Police Station Hubli City. A total 18 SIMI activists have been made accused in the case.
- (l) On 24th April, 2009 one accused person of SIMI has been convicted for 5 years RI and fine of Rs. 1,000 by Tis Hazari Court who was arrested with explosive material by Special Cell, of Delhi Police on 25th January, 2007.

- (m) An appeal filed in Delhi High Court against the conviction of 4 accused of SIMI on 9th July, 2007 by Lower Court for 10 years RI and fine of Rs. 50,000 each in I/d 6 months u/s 121/121A/122 IPC, RI, 7 years under Section 4 of ES Act, fine 25,000 I/d 3 months RI, 5 years u/s 5 ES Act, 5 fine 25,000, was disposed of on 28th July, 2008.

And whereas the Central Government, based on the aforesaid grounds, is of the opinion that SIMI is believed to be indulging in the activities which are prejudicial to the integrity and security of the country;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (37 of 1967), the Central Government hereby declares the Students Islamic Movement of India (SIMI) to be an "unlawful association";

And whereas, the Central Government is further of the opinion that if the unlawful activities of the SIMI are not curbed and controlled immediately, it will take the opportunity to—

- (i) continue its subversive activities and re-organize its activists who are still absconding;
- (ii) disrupt the secular fabric of the country by polluting the minds of the people by creating communal disharmony;
- (iii) propagate anti-national sentiments; and
- (iv) escalate secessionism by supporting militancy;
- (v) undertake activities which are prejudicial to the integrity and security of the country;

And whereas, the Central Government is also of the opinion that having regard to the activities of the SIMI, it is necessary to declare the SIMI to be an unlawful association with immediate effect, and accordingly, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (3) of Section 3, the Central Government hereby directs that this notification shall, subject to any order that may be made under Section 4 of the said Act, have effect from the date of its publication in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 14017/2/2009-NI-III]
Dr. KASHMIR SINGH, Jt. Secy.

अधिसूचना

नई दिल्ली, ५ मार्च, २०१०

का. आ. ५४५(अ).— जैसाकि, केन्द्र सरकारने, विधि—विरुद्ध क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) अधिनियम, १९६७ (१९६७ का ३७) की धारा ३ की उप—धारा (१) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, दिनांक ५ फरवरी, २०१० की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. २६०(अ) जो भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण के भाग II, खंड ३, उप—खंड (ii) में दिनांक ५ फरवरी, २०१० को प्रकाशित की गई थी, के तहत स्टूडेंट्स इस्लामिक मूवमेंट ऑफ इंडिया (सिमी) को विधि—विरुद्ध संगम घोषित किया है।

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त विधि—विरुद्ध क्रियाकलाप (निवारण) अधिनियम, १९६७ की धारा ४२ द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एतद्वारा यह निदेश देती है कि पूर्वोक्त विधि—विरुद्ध संगठन के सम्बन्ध में उक्त अधिनियम की धारा ७ और धारा ८ के अधीन उसके द्वारा प्रयोक्तव्य सभी शक्तियां, राज्य सरकारों और संघ शासित क्षेत्र प्रशासनों द्वारा भी प्रयोग की जाएंगी।

[फा. सं. १४०१७/२/२०१०—एन. आई.—III]

डॉ. कशमीर सिंह, संयुक्त सचिव

Notification

New Delhi, the 5th March, 2010

S.O. 545(E).— Whereas, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (37 of 1967), the Central Government have declared the "Students Islamic Movement of India (SIMI)" as an unlawful association *vide* notification number S.O. 260(E) dated the 5th February, 2010 published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii) dated the 5th February, 2010.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 42 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967, the Central Government hereby directs that all the powers which are exercisable by it under Sections 7 and 8 of the above said Act, shall be exercised also by the State Governments and the Union Territory Administrations in relation to the aforesaid unlawful association.

[F. No. 14017/2/2010-NI-III]
Dr. KASHMIR SINGH, Jt. Secy.

Department of Information and Publicity

—
Order

No. DI/INF/Pubn/Nave-Prava-08-2010/252

The Government of Goa is pleased to constitute an Advisory Board for publication of Nave Prava, the Official Magazine of Government of Goa comprising the following:

1. Shri Narendra Kumar, IAS, — Chairman.
Secretary (Information & Publicity)
2. Shri Vishnu S. Wagh, Press and — Member.
Media Advisor, Government of Goa
3. Shri Suresh Walve, Press — Member
Liaison Officer to Hon. Chief Minister
4. Shri Pramod Khandeparkar, — Member
PRO (Raj Bhavan)
5. Shri Paresh Prabhu, Editor, — Member.
Navprabha
6. Shri Derek Almeida, Editor, — Member.
Gomantak Times
7. Shri Menino Peres, Director, — Executive
Information & Publicity Editor.

The following will be the terms and references:

- a) To advise on the subject/theme of the edition.
- b) To suggest topics for articles.
- c) To suggest measures to make the publication innovative and readable.

This issues with the Government approval under U. O. No. 29705 dated April 8, 2010.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Menino Peres, Director of Information & Publicity & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 15th April, 2010.

—◆◆◆—
Department of Labour

—
Notification

No. 28/1/2010-LAB

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, at Panaji-Goa on 17-03-2010 in reference No. IT/66/07 is hereby

published as required by Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 16th April, 2010.

—————
THE LABOUR COURT-II
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Suresh N. Narulkar,
Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Case No. Ref. IT/66/07

Shri Sitaram Kinlekar,
H. No. 229, Askawada,
Mandrem, Pernem-Goa. Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Royal Goan Beach
Club Monterio,
Arpora, Baga,
Bardez-Goa. Employer/Party II

Party I/Workman is represented by Adv., Shri Suhas Naik.

Party II/Employer is represented by Adv., Shri M. S. Bhandodkar.

Panaji, dated: 17-03-2010.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 07-09-2006 bearing No. 28/02/2006-LAB/603 referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Labour Court-II.

“(1) Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Royal Goan Beach Club Monteiro, Arpora, Bardez-Goa in terminating the services of their workman Shri Sitaram Kinlekar, Assistant Steward, with effect from 11-05-2003, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief, the workman is entitled?”

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/66/07 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the parties put in their appearance.

The Workman/Party I (for short 'Workman') filed his statement of claim on 17-08-2009 at Exhibit 10. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Workman are that the Employer/Party II (for short "Employer") is a starred resort which caters to the needs of Indian and Foreign Tourists by providing them room services and restaurant facilities by charging certain tariffs. He stated that the Employer employs many Workmen to cater to the needs of these tourists. He stated that he was employed by the Employer at their hotel at Arpora, Baga, Bardez-Goa. He stated that he was issued an 'Attendance Card' for marking the presence of the Workmen. He stated that the said 'Attendance Card' was kept at the 'Security Office' at the entrance of the gate. He stated that all the workmen including himself were required to sign this 'Attendance Card' by putting time entry and signature. He stated that the said attendance card was subsequently handed over to the Personnel Department and is retained in the custody of the Personnel Department. He stated that he worked with the Employer continuously without any break in services from the date of appointment till the date of his illegal termination of services. He stated that he was called by the Employer and handed over to him a back dated letter, wherein it was mentioned that "his contracts stands extended". He stated that the said letter was prepared by the Personnel Department by putting the name of the Workman. He stated that he refused to sign the said letter, however his signature was obtained under force. He stated that he informed the Personnel Manager that he has worked many years continuously and the Management should confirm him in services. He stated that at this juncture, the Personnel Manager informed him that if he does not sign the said letter, his services would be abruptly terminated. He stated that accordingly he did not sign the above referred letter for the reason stated above, at which the Personnel Manager informed him that if he does not sign the letter, his services stands terminated. He stated that after terminating his services, the Management recruited many new workmen in his place. He stated that the termination of his services is illegal, unjustified and bad in law as it is in contravention of Sec. 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. He stated that after termination of his services he made many efforts to meet the Personnel Manager, but all his efforts were turned down. He stated that he thereafter received a registered A/D letter from the Personnel Manager stating that a cheque has been enclosed towards his full and final settlement. He stated that the amount mentioned in the said cheque is not

correct. He stated that after the termination of his services, he raised an Industrial Dispute before the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Mapusa-Goa demanding immediate reinstatement with full back wages, which ended in failure. He stated that he is presently unemployed and does not have any source of income, he therefore prayed that he may be reinstated in the services of the Employer with full back wages and continuity in services and the termination of his services be held as illegal, unjustified and bad in law.

6. Thereafter the case was fixed for filing the written statement of the Employer Company on 03-09-2009. The *Ld. Adv.*, Shri M. S. Bandodkar appearing for the Employer Company sought time to file the written statement on 03-09-2009 and subsequently on 14-09-2009 and case was adjourned to 05-10-2009. On 05-10-2009, the *Ld. Adv.*, Shri Suhas Naik appearing for the Workman as well as the *Ld. Adv.*, Shri M. S. Bandodkar appearing for the Employer jointly submitted that the matter is likely to be settled amicably between the parties. Accordingly, they have settled the matter amicably between the parties and filed the terms of settlement on 17-03-2010 at Exb. 11 and submitted that an award be passed in terms of said amicable settlement.

I have carefully perused the said terms of settlements at Exb. 11 and I am of the opinion that the said terms of settlement are beneficial to both the parties. It also helps in keeping peaceful harmonious industrial relations between the parties and hence I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. It is agreed between the parties that the Management of M/s. Royal Goan Beach Club Monteiro, Baga, Bardez-Goa shall pay a sum of Rs. 36,000/- (Rupees thirty six thousands only) to Shri Sitaram Kinlekar by Cheque No. 321549 dated 16-11-2009 drawn on HDFC Bank Ltd. payable at par, in full and final settlement of all his claims, which shall include earned wages, bonus, leave encashment, overtime, gratuity etc. if any, arising out of employment and/or termination of services, including any other claim/sum which can be computed in terms of money.

2. It is agreed by Shri Sitaram Kinlekar, the Party I that, he shall accept the amount mentioned in the Clause (1) in full and final settlement of all his claims arising out of the employment/termination of his services, including claim of earned wages, bonus, overtime, leave encashment,

gratuity etc. if any or any other claim/sum which can be computed in terms of money, in complete satisfaction of all his claims including claim made in the present reference No. IT/66/07 and further confirm that he shall have no claim of whatsoever nature against the company including any claim of reinstatement or/and re-employment.

3. The dispute as to "Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Royal Goan Beach Club Monteiro, Arpora, Bardez-Goa in terminating the services of their Workman, Shri Sitaram Kinlekar, 'Assistant Steward', with effect from 11-05-2003, is legal and justified?" does not survive.

4. No order as to costs.

5. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-

(Suresh N. Narulkar),
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court-II.

Notification

No. 28/1/2010-LAB

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, at Panaji-Goa on 17-03-2010 in reference No. LC-II/IT/14/09 is hereby published as required by Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 16th April, 2010.

THE LABOUR COURT-II
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Suresh N. Narulkar, Hon'ble
Presiding Officer)

Case No. LC-II/IT/14/09

Shri Jalal Mohammed Shaikh,
H. No. 12/A, Behind Betu Shop,
Muslim Wada,
Bhoma-Goa. ... Workman/Party I
V/s

M/s. Advani Pleasure
Cruise Co. Pvt. Ltd.,
Fisheries Department Building,
Opp. Hotel Mandovi,
Panaji-Goa. ... Employer/Party II

Party I/Workman is present in Person.

Party II/Employer marked as ex-parte.

Panaji, dated: 17-3-2010.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 21-10-2009 bearing No. 28/24/2009-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication by the Industrial Tribunal of Goa.

"(1) Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Advani Pleasure Cruise Co. Pvt. Ltd., Panaji in terminating the services of Shri Jalal Mohammed Shaikh, Security Supervisor, w.e.f. 01-04-2009 is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief, the Workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. LC-II/IT/14/09 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the Workman/Party I put in his appearance. The Employer/Party II was duly served through bailiff attached to this Court, however they failed to appear on 26-11-2009 and on subsequent scheduled dates of hearings, hence the Employer/Party II (for short 'Employer') was marked as ex-parte. The Workman/Party I (for short 'Workman'), filed his statement of claim on 11-12-2009 at Exhibit 4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Workman are that he was appointed as "Security Supervisor" by the Employer Company w.e.f. 01-03-2004 vide their letter dated 29-02-2009 and was working at M/s. M. V. Caravela, Panaji-Goa. He stated that the Employer Company confirmed him in service w.e.f. 01-03-2005 vide their letter dated 28-02-2005. He stated that he was rewarded with increase in salary of Rs. 1,000/- p. m. by the Employer Company due to exemplary performance and continued support and dedication of service vide their letter dated 27-02-2006. He stated that he was again rewarded with increase in salary of Rs. 2,000/- p. m. w.e.f. 01-09-2007 due to excellent performance and dedication vide their letter dated 31-08-2007. He stated that he was promoted as a "Senior Security Supervisor" w.e.f. 01-04-2008 due to continued dedication and high level of efficiency with an increase in salary of Rs. 3,650/- vide their letter dated 31-03-2007. He stated that his total salary was Rs. 16,000/- p.m. He stated that the

Employer Company vide their letter dated 16-01-2008 extended medical as well as LTA facility to the extent of Rs. 3,000/- p. a. He stated that surprisingly the Casino Manager of M/s. Advani Pleasure Cruise Co. Pvt. Ltd., issued an Order of termination dated 01-04-09 terminating his services illegally without any reasons or justifications and without following the principles of natural justice. He stated that being aggrieved by the order of termination; he raised an Industrial Dispute before the Labour Commissioner, Panaji-Goa on 13-04-2009, which ended in failure after recording its minutes of conciliation and failure report. He contended that his order of termination is illegal, unjustified and against the principles of natural justice. He contended that the said order of termination was issued by the Casino Manager, who is not his appointing authority and hence the termination order is illegal, null and void. He contended that the Employer has not followed the provisions of law before issuing the said termination order. He submitted that his legal dues were not paid to him at the time of termination of his services. He therefore prayed that his order of termination dated 01-04-2009 be set aside as it is illegal, null and void and the Employer Company be directed to reinstate him with continuity in service and full back wages.

3. Though the Employer Company has been duly served with summons of this Hon'ble Court through bailiff attached to this Court, the Employer Company failed to appear before this Hon'ble Court on the scheduled dates of hearing and consequently failed to resist the present claim statement filed by the Workman.

4. Based on the pleadings filed by the Workman, this Court framed the following issues on 20-10-2010 at Exhibit 6.

1. Whether the Workman/Party I proves that he is a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947?
2. Whether the Workman/Party I proves that he was employed with the Employer/Party II w.e.f 01-03-2004 continuously till the date of his termination w.e.f. 01-04-2009?
3. Whether the Workman/Party I proves that the action of the Employer/Party II in terminating his services w.e.f. 01-04-2009 is illegal and unjustified?
4. Whether the Workman/Party I proves that the Casino Manager was not competent to issue him the order of termination?

5. Whether the Workman/Party I proves that he is entitled for any relief?

6. What Award? What Order?

5. My findings to the aforesaid issues are as under:

Issue No. 1: In the Negative.

Issue No. 2: In the Affirmative.

Issue No. 3: In the Negative.

Issue No. 4: In the Negative.

Issue No. 5: In the Negative.

Issue No. 6: As per final order.

REASONS:

6. *Issue No. 1:* It is the Party I who has approached this Hon'ble Court with a prayer to set aside the termination order dated 01-04-2009 issued by the Employer Company and direct the Employer Company to reinstate him in their services with continuity in services and full back wages. Therefore, in order to claim any relief from this Hon'ble Court, it is imperative on the Party I to prove that this Court has jurisdiction to set aside his order of termination dated 01-04-2009 issued by the Employer Company. In other words, the Party I is entitled to claim any relief from this Hon'ble Court only if he satisfies the Court that he is a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947 since the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 are applicable only to the "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the said Act. Hence, this Hon'ble Court had framed the existing Issue No. 1.

It is well settled law that whether a person is a "Workman" or not has to be determined based on the principle nature of his duties performed by him before the termination of his services, irrespective of his designation. The Party I has also examined himself as his sole witness to prove his case. The Party I has however failed to state the nature of his duties in his entire evidence which he was performing at the time of termination of his services w.e.f. 01-04-2009 by the Employer Company. The Party I however in his evidence stated that he was working as 'Senior Security Officer' and his gross salary for January and February, 2009 was Rs. 16,017/- and Rs. 15,087/- respectively.

Since the evidence on record laid by the Party I indicates that the Party I failed to state his primary and predominant nature of duties performed by him at the time of termination of his services w.e.f. 01-04-2009. It is held that the Party I failed

to prove that he is a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947.

7. *Issue No. 2:* It is the contention of the Party I that he was appointed as a 'Security Supervisor' by the Employer Company w.e.f. 01-03-2004 and he continued to work with the Employer Company till the date of termination order issued to him by the Employer Company w.e.f. 01-04-2009.

The Party I in support of his aforesaid pleadings laid oral as well as documentary evidence on record by examining himself as his sole witness. The said oral as well as documentary evidence on record clearly indicates that the Party I was appointed as 'Security Supervisor' w.e.f. 01-03-2004 and subsequently confirmed in their services w.e.f. 01-03-2005. Further the evidence on record indicates that he was given the salary increment by the Employer Company vide their letter dated 27-02-2006, letter dated 31-08-2007 and letter dated 31-03-2008. The oral as well as documentary evidence on record further indicates that the Party I was promoted as 'Senior Security Supervisor' w.e.f. 01-01-2008 and extended reimbursement of LTA & Medical facility w.e.f. 01-01-2008. The aforesaid oral as well as documentary evidence on record clearly indicates that the Party I was in the services of the Employer Company from his initial appointment as "Security Supervisor" w.e.f. 01-03-2004 continuously till the date of his termination w.e.f. 01-04-2009. Hence it is held that the Party I was employed with the Employer Company w.e.f. 01-03-2004 continuously till the date of his termination w.e.f. 01-04-2009.

8 *Issue Nos. 3, 4 & 5:* It is the Party I who is aggrieved by the order of termination issued by the Employer Company and has approached this Hon'ble Court by contending that the said order of termination is illegal and unjustified as it has been passed, without any reasons or justification, without following the principles of natural justice and without following the provisions of law before issuing it. The Party I also contended that said termination order was issued by the Casino Manager of the Employer Company who was not his appointing authority and hence, it is illegal, null and void. This Hon'ble Court therefore framed the existing Issue No. 3 pertaining to the legality and justifiability of the action of the Employer Company in terminating the services of the Party I w.e.f. 01-04-2009 and Issue No. 4 pertaining to whether the Casino Manager was not competent to issue him the order of termination.

While discussing the Issue No. 1 hereinabove, I have already discussed and come to the conclusion that the Party I failed to prove that he is a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947. The said Issue No. 1 is one of the primary issue which goes to the very root of jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. Since, the Party I failed to prove that he is a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947, this Court has no jurisdiction to decide the legality and justifiability of the action of the Employer Company in terminating the services of the Party I w.e.f. 01-04-2009 and consequently to grant any relief. Consequently, this Hon'ble Court cannot grant any relief to the Party I as claimed as it has no jurisdiction.

In view of the above discussions and with regards to the facts and circumstances of the case I proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

1. The Workman/Party I, Shri Jalal Mohammed Shaikh having failed to prove that he is a "Workman" within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947, this Court lacks jurisdiction to decide "Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Advani Pleasure Cruise Co. Pvt. Ltd., Panaji in terminating the services of Shri Jalal Mohammed Shaikh, Security Supervisor, w.e.f. 01-04-2009 is legal and justified. The Workman/Party I, Shri Jalal Mohammed Shaikh is not entitled to any relief from this Court.
2. No order as to costs.
3. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(Suresh N. Narulkar),
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court-II.

State Directorate of Craftsmen Training

Order

Ref. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT(5)/2598

- Read: 1. Order No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT(5)/4231 dated 19-6-2008.
2. Order No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT(5)/ dated 11-2-2009.
3. Order No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT(5)/4099 dated 20-7-2009.

The ad hoc promotion of Shri Vinayak M. Kambli to the post of Asstt. Director (Trg) (Group A)

Gazetted is hereby extended for further period of six months from 19-12-2009 to 18-6-2010 with the same terms and conditions stipulated in the above cited orders.

This is issued with the concurrence of the Goa Public Service Commission vide it's communication No. COMM/II/11/60(1)/03-09/(part file)/657 dated 13-4-2010.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Aleixo F. da Costa, State Director of Craftsmen Training & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

Order

Ref. No. 2/114/2009-SDCT/EST/2599

- Read: (1) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/(24)/2639, dated 7-12-2007 33/C.
(2) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/(24)-Part/4938 dated 09-07-2008 156/C.
(3) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/(24)/3581, dated 01-7-2009 206/C.
(4) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/(24)/4648, dated 11-8-2009 243/C.

The ad hoc promotion of Shri M. R. Allabaksh to the post of Principal Jr. Scale, is hereby extended for further period of six months from 7-12-2009 to 6-6-2010 with the same terms and conditions stipulated in the above cited orders.

This is issued with the concurrences of the Goa Public Service Commission vide it's communication No. COM/II/11/60(1)/03-09(Part file)/842, dated 6-4-2010.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Aleixo F. da Costa, State Director of Craftsmen Training & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

Order

Ref. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT(5)/2600

1. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/8755 dated 3-11-2006.
2. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/3608 dated 3-8-2007.
3. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/3092 dated 16-4-2008.
4. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/6079 dated 2-9-2008.
5. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/1056 dated 26-2-2009.
6. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/(5)/4098 dated 20-7-2009.

The ad hoc promotion of Shri Sakham S. Gaonkar to the post of Principal (Sr. scale) (Group A Gazetted) is hereby extended for further period of six months from 3-11-2009 to 2-5-2010 with the same terms and conditions stipulated in the above cited orders.

This is issued with the concurrence of the Goa Public Service Commission vide it's communication No. COMM/II/11/60(1)/03-09/(part file)/657 dated 13-4-2010.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Aleixo F. da Costa, State Director of Craftsmen Training & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

Order

Ref. No. 2/114/2009-SDCT/EST/2633

- Read: (1) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/894, dated 21-3-2005.
(2) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/397, dated 08-5-2006.
(3) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/385, dated 29-1-2007.
(4) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/635, dated 30-1-2008.
(5) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/ , dated 27-5-2008.
(6) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT/7767, dated 02-12-2008.
(7) Order No. 2/123/2009/EST/SDCT(15)/508, dated 1-9-2009.

The ad hoc promotion of Shri Ravikiran Pawaskar to the post of Principal Jr. Scale (Group B Gazetted) is hereby extended for further period of six months from 21-3-2010 to 20-9-2010 with the same terms and conditions stipulated in the above cited orders.

This is issued with the concurrences of the Goa Public Service Commission vide it's communication No. COM/II/11/60(1)/03-09(Part file)/842, dated 6-4-2010.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Aleixo F. da Costa, State Director of Craftsmen Training & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 20th April, 2010.

Order

Ref. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT(5)/2597

1. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/8755 dated 3-11-2006.
2. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/3608 dated 3-8-2007.
3. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/3092 dated 16-4-2008.
4. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/6079 dated 2-9-2008.
5. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/1056 dated 26-2-2009.
6. No. 2/22/2006/EST/SDCT/(5)/4100 dated 20-7-2009.

The ad hoc promotion of Shri Rajesh P. Lolayekar, to the post of (Assistant Director (Trg.) Group A) Gazetted is hereby extended for further period of six months from 3-11-2009 to 2-5-2010 with the same terms and conditions stipulated in the above cited orders.

This is issued with the concurrence of the Goa public Service Commission vide its communication No. COMM/II/11/60(1)/03-09/(part file)/657 dated 13-4-2010.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Aleixo F. da Costa, State Director of Craftsmen Training & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 16th April, 2010.

Notification

No. 24/10/2005-LAB

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa in consultation with the Employees' State Insurance Corporation and with the approval of the Central Government and in supersession of the Government Notification No. 24/10/2005-Lab/366 dated 11-04-2007, published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 5, dated 03-05-2007, hereby gives six months notice of its intention to extend the provisions of the said Act to the classes of establishments specified in the Schedule below:-

SCHEDULE

Educational institutions (including public, private, aided or partially aided) run by individuals, trustees, societies or other

organizations, wherein twenty or more persons are employed on any day of the preceding twelve months.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st April, 2010.

Notification

No. 24/10/2005-LAB

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa in consultation with the Employees' State Insurance Corporation and with the approval of the Central Government and in supersession of the Government Notification No. 24/10/2005-Lab/340 dated 02-04-2007, published in the Official Gazette, Series I No. 4, dated 26-04-2007, hereby gives six months notice of its intention to extend the provisions of the said Act to the classes of establishments specified in the Schedule below:-

SCHEDULE

Medical Institutions (including Corporate, Joint Sector, trust charitable and private ownership hospitals, nursing homes, diagnostic centres, pathological labs), wherein twenty or more persons are employed or were employed on any day of the preceding twelve months.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st April, 2010.

◆◆◆

**Department of New & Renewable
Energy Sources**

(Goa Energy Development Agency) GEDA

Notification

No. 1/98/GEDA/Part file/2009-10/40

As required under the scheme of Development of Solar Cities of Ministry of New & Renewable Energy (MNRE), New Delhi, Government is pleased to constitute the "Solar City Stake Holders Committee" with the following members:

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. Director of Municipal Administration | Joint Convenor. |
| 2. Member Secretary (GEDA) | – do – |
| 3. Representative Councillor of Corporation of City of Panaji | Member. |
| 4. Representative Councillor of Margao Municipal Council, Margao | Member. |
| 5. Representative Councillor of Mormugao Municipal Council, Vasco-da-Gama | Member. |
| 6. Representative Councillor of Mapusa Municipal Council, Mapusa | Member. |
| 7. Representative Councillor of Ponda Municipal Council, Ponda | Member. |
| 8. Head of the Department of Physics, Goa University, Bambolim | Member. |
| 9. Head of Electrical/Electronics Department, Goa College of Engineering Farmagudi, Ponda | Member. |
| 10. Representative of Dept. of Electricity Government of Goa, Panaji | Member. |
| 11. Representative of Power Grid Corporation of India, Colvale, Bardez, Goa | Member. |
| 12. Representative of Goa Chamber of Commerce & Industries, Panaji | Member. |
| 13. Representative of Goa Foundation, N.G.O., Mapusa | Member. |
| 14. Representative Architect from the Institute of Architects, Goa Chapter, Panaji | Member. |

The mandate of this Committee is to provide advisory support towards preparation of the Master Plan for Development of urban Goa as a Solar City. This envisages a minimum reduction of 10% in projected demand of conventional energy, in five years, through a combination of energy efficiency measures and enhancement of supply from Renewable Energy Sources. The interaction with the Committee will be as per the guidelines of the MNRE (Gol) and shall involve atleast two consultations, after the inception workshop, to discuss and finalise the draft Master Plan. The

meeting of the Committee shall be planned and executed by the Convenors.

Dr. N. P. S. Varde, ex officio Joint Secretary to Government Member Secretary (GEDA).

Porvorim, 19th April, 2010.

◆◆◆

Department of Personnel

--

Order

No. 15/5/97-PER

The Governor of Goa is pleased to order transfer and posting of the following Block Development Officers, with immediate effect, in public interest:

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Present posting	Posted on transfer as
1	2	3	4
1.	Smt. Anuja Paresh Faldessai	Awaiting posting	B. D. O., Sanguem.
2.	Shri Amir Yeshwant Parab	B. D. O., Sanguem	B. D. O., Mormugao, thereby relieving Shri Sagun R. Velip, B.D.O., Salcete-I of the additional charge.

Smt. Anuja Faldessai shall move first.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 19th April, 2010.

Addendum

No. 22/8/2005-PER

Read: Order No. 22/8/2005-PER dated 30-03-2010

In above referred order 3rd para shall be added and read as below:-

"The deputation of Shri Bosco B. F. George shall be governed by standard terms of deputation as contained in this Department's Office Memorandum No. 13/4/74-PER dated 12-02-1999 and as amended".

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 16th April, 2010.

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 7/5/91-I/PHD

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/56(6)/91-08/Vol. I/68 dated 08-03-2010, the Government is pleased to appoint Smt. Nilima Vinayak Mishal to the post of Junior Scientific Officer (Drugs) (Group 'B' Gazetted) in the Pay Band—3 and Pay Scale Rs. 9,300-34,800+ Grade Pay of Rs. 4,600/- under the Directorate of Food and Drugs Administration with effect from the date of her joining the post as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum of even number dated 26-03-2010 and post her at the Directorate of Food and Drugs Administration, Panaji with pay protection as per rules.

Smt. Nilima Vinayak Mishal shall be on probation for a period of two years.

The appointment of Smt. Nilima Vinayak Mishal is made subject to the verification of her character and antecedents. She is declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

In the event of any adverse matter noticed by the Government on verification of her character and antecedents, her services will be terminated.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Paula Fernandes, Under Secretary (Health II).

Porvorim, 16th April, 2010.

Order

No. 44/13/2009-I/PHD

Read: Memorandum No. 45/1/2009-I/PHD dated 10-08-2009.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/24(1)/2008/Vol.IV/247 dated 03-08-2009, Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Sudhan S. Singbal, to the post of Medical Officer (Group "A" Gazetted) in the Pay Band—3 of Rs. 15,600-39,100+ (Grade Pay) Rs. 5,400/- under the Directorate of Health Services with immediate effect as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above and post her at T. B. Hospital, Margao.

Dr. Sudhan S. Singbal shall be on probation for a period of two years.

Her character and antecedents have been verified by the District Magistrate South Goa District, Margao-Goa and she has been declared medically fit by the Medical Board.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardesai, Joint Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 20th April, 2010.

Order

No. 46/1/2008-I/PHD

Government is pleased to transfer the following Public Health Dentists under the Directorate of Health Services in public interest with immediate effect and post them to the places indicated against their names:-

Sr. No.	Name of the doctor	Present place of posting	Posted on transfer at
1	2	3	4
1.	Dr. Puja A. Acharya	Primary Health Centre, Candolim	Primary Health Centre, Bali.
2.	Dr. Charlotte Anne Lobo	Primary Health Centre, Bali	Primary Health Centre, Curtorim.
3.	Dr. Seema Pangam alias Manasvi Ganpat Alve	Urban Health Centre, Mapusa	Primary Health Centre, Candolim.

Consequent upon transfer of Dr. Seema Pangam alias Manasvi Ganpat Alve to Primary Health Centre, Candolim, Dr. Ram Babu Kamlakar attached to Primary Health Centre, Aldona shall hold additional charge at Urban Health Centre, Mapusa in addition to his own duties.

Dr. Seema Pangam alias Manasvi Ganpat Alve, Public Health Dentist shall move first.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardesai, Joint Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 21st April, 2010.

Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 22/3/2010-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for dumping of Municipal garbage by constructing aerobic composting stations and landfill site.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector & SDO, Quepem, Goa, to perform the functions of a Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa.
2. The Deputy Collector & SDO, Quepem, Goa.
3. The Chief Officer, Curchorem-Cacora Municipal Council, Curchorem.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector & SDO, Quepem, Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Quepem

Village: Cacora

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
165 1 P O:	Narottam Hiru Kakodkar.	2200
164 8 P O:	Narottam Hiru Kakodkar.	500
167 O:	Comunidade of Cacora.	16600
168 1 O:	Shri Deu Vithal.	45700
	T: 1. Vassudev Tari.	
	2. Nagesh Tari.	
	3. Ramchandra Tari.	
	4. Diago Fernandes.	
	5. Pascal Fernandes.	
	6. Francisco Fernandes.	

Boundaries :

North: Road, S. No. 165/1, 164/16, 164/15, 164/14, 164/13, 164/8, 169, Nala.

South: S. No. 165/1, 164/8, 166/17, 16, 14, 166/12, 11, 6, 4, 5, 3, 170/2.

East : S. No. 165/1, 164/8.

West : S. No. 165/1, 168/2, 170/2.

Total: 65100

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Neela S. Dharwadkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).
Porvorim, 21st April, 2010

www.goagovt.nic.in/gazette.htm

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 28.00